

City of Springdale Council

December 2, 2020

President of Council Vanover called Council to order on December 2, 2020

The governmental body and those in attendance recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. Hawkins took roll call. Council members Anderson, Emerson, Ghantous, Hawkins, Ramirez, Sullivan-Wisecup, and Vanover were present.

President Vanover: Mrs. McNear had surgery yesterday, so she is home recuperating, so that's the reason for her absence, and Mr. Hawkins has volunteered to do the yeoman's work tonight.

The minutes of the November 4, 2020 meeting were considered. Mrs. Ghantous made a motion to accept the minutes; Mr. Anderson seconded. The minutes were approved with seven affirmative votes.

Communications

Mayor Webster: I did receive a letter, I failed to bring it with me, but I think it needs to go into the record for Council.

President Vanover: Sure.

Mayor Webster: Back on September 7, 2011, this Council passed an ordinance authorizing the City to supply EMS service to the Village of Glendale. It was to be done according to schedule for just a salt building. So, that's what we've done for the last nine years, and so, last year, we had 181 runs to the Village of Glendale. A run isn't just a two member squad; we send a pumper, using a captain or lieutenant is following, so, we've got six or seven people responding to those 181 runs. So, our Assistant Chief Stanley went to put all the numbers together for what it cost us for EMS operations at the Fire Department. He didn't factor in the building, and the amortization on all the vehicles and all that kind of stuff. This was just direct out-of-pocket expenses. So, anyway, he pulled all those together, divided by the total number of runs that we do for us, Glendale, and Hollydale, and multiply it by 181 and we said, "Okay, this is really what it cost us to service Glendale". So, called the Mayor, set up meeting with our Fire Chief, Assistant Chief, and Brian (Uhl), and John (Jones) and I. Had a meeting with the Glendale folks and we gave them the facts. We said, "Look here's what we did last year for you, 181 runs. Here's what it cost you, and here's what it cost us." All we got for this was \$43,000, the salt building. The actual cost was \$265,000. Now, we never expected Glendale to pay us \$265,000, but we gave them the raw data and said, "Here's what it cost us and we'd like you guys to make a contribution if you will." The first question was "Can we build up to that number?" We said, "Well, sure. We don't expect you to fork over \$265,000". So, they said, "We need some time to think about it." We said, "That's fine." So John, and Brian went on ahead with our budget preparation with having changed nothing in there. And so, this week, I get a letter from Don Lofte, the Mayor of the Village of Glendale telling me that they had approached Sharonville, and Sharonville has agreed to do it for the same terms and conditions that we had; just a salt building. So, as of January 1st, Sharonville will pick up EMS service for the Village of Glendale, and so that's fine and I think they made a wise decision. If Sharonville is willing to do it for nothing, I say, "Go for it." We've done it for nine years for nothing. I say nothing, the salt building is nothing out of the treasury of the Village of Glendale, and so they paid zero for our services over those years. He was very appreciative of the service and everything else, so we wished him well and wanted to let everyone know up here as of January 1st, we're parting ways. We will no longer be providing service for the Village of Glendale.

President Vanover: Very good.

Communications from the Audience

-

None

City of Springdale Council

December 2, 2020

Ordinances and Resolutions

Ordinance No. 46-2020

AN ORDINANCE TO ESTABLISH A CUSTODIAL FUND ENTITLED THE "SPRINGDALE YOUTH BOOSTERS (SYB) FUND" AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

Mrs. Sullivan-Wisecup made a motion to adopt Ordinance No. 46-2020; Mrs. Emerson seconded.

Mr. Anderson: Mr. Jones or Mr. Braun, could you confirm that this will not change the employment status of people that SYB hires, say, for example, game workers. They would still be hired by SYB and not be subject to administrative policies.

Mr. Braun: That is correct. It's only a custodial fund.

Mrs. Sullivan-Wisecup: I just wanted to give a little bit of background on this, because I don't know if some people know how this used to work. That, when the City would collect money for SYB, it would go into an SYB fund that would go straight into a safe at the Community Center. And so, basically, once a month, or however often the treasurer of SYB was able to clear out the safe, they would come, clear out the safe and make those deposits on their own. Since things are being done on-line, this makes a lot more sense, because it's going to be collected by the City, go through the City, then it would do it this way. For many, many years, we weren't sure how we'd be able to divide this, because we had thought about doing this way back when I was in SYB, and I love that they have found a way to do this and make it work because this will streamline it a lot and make it easier for people to sign up on-line and do registration. My only question was, this was something we ran into back then, I had questions about now was if they do on-line registration, is there a way for us to verify that they are, in fact, members of SYB. Not members of SYB, members of Springdale because there's two different prices that are given; whether you're residential or non-residential. So, I just wanted to make sure that when people are going through and they are registering, that our system will recognize whether or not they are, in fact, members of the community Center. Because, if not, that's going to be a big mess doing refunds, and things like that.

Mayor Webster: The reason for this is the fact that we are going to be able to accept on-line registrations, initially for sports sign ups. You can use credit cards for payment and, before, we weren't able to do that. The Rec Center collected cash or checks, put them in a box, and then periodically turned them over to Treasury of the SYB. Well now, all these funds are going to go directly into the City's treasury, so then periodically we'll cut a check to SYB to an agency fund. That's what you're creating here. But, in answer to your question, that's Phase Two of this. The first phase is to sports sign ups. I think it's the third phase that they'll be able to renew memberships and new memberships will be able to sign up. When you sign up, don't hold me to this, but I think, as I recall the conversation is that you will get a conditional membership, conditional on that application being forwarded to the Tax Department to make sure you're duly registered with the Tax Department and then you'll become a full-fledged member.

Mrs. Sullivan-Wisecup: Because, my question is if say that I was not a member of the Community Center and I wanted to sign my son up, and I went in there. If I was a member, I would pay \$40 for him to play basketball, he's on the team; he's good. If not, I have to pay additional money; I have to pay \$20 for the activity fee, plus I have to pay a late fee and the \$40, so, it ends up being about \$75 altogether. So, I wanted to make sure if we are signing people up, that the system is able to track whether or not they are a member because if not, it's going to be hard to chase them down and get that additional money, or it's going to be a nightmare trying to do refunds for people who say, "Oh, then never mind if I have to be a member." So, I just wanted to make sure that we're able to see it.

Mayor Webster: Part of the sign up process, you'll have to have your family membership available. We haven't short-circuited any of those controls.

City of Springdale Council

December 2, 2020

Mrs. Sullivan-Wisecup: Perfect. I knew it was a new system, so I didn't know how the new system was set up.

Mayor Webster: There will probably be a few wrinkles in the system, that's why we're phasing it in. We didn't want to start full bore at once.

Mrs. Sullivan-Wisecup: Perfect.

Mayor Webster: It will probably be the first of June before we're able to do memberships.

Mrs. Sullivan-Wisecup: Perfect. Thank you so much.

Mr. Ramirez: Will the adult sports be able to take advantage of the on-line ordering such as women's volleyball, softball, men's golf?

Mayor Webster: Yes. I think that's Phase Two as I recall. Then Phase Three will be the sign ups, the memberships, and then the Phase Four will be able to go in on-line and reserve a room and so forth.

Mr. Ramirez: Thank you.

Mayor Webster: At the end of the day, you'll be able to do anything you want to do to sign up for a service at the Rec Center.

President Vanover: Excellent. Okay.

Mayor Webster: Mr. President, I noticed that this does not have an emergency clause on it. It says "emergency" up there but...Well, I guess it does. Never mind.

Ordinance No. 46-2020 passes with seven affirmative votes.

Ordinance No. 47-2020

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND CLERK OF COUNCIL/FINANCE DIRECTOR TO AMEND THE AGREEMENT WITH CT CONSULTANTS, INC. TO PROVIDE ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE CITY OF SPRINGDALE AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

Mrs. Ghantous made a motion to adopt Ordinance No. 47-2020; Mrs. Sullivan-Wisecup seconded the motion.

Ordinance No. 47-2020 passes with seven affirmative votes.

Ordinance No. 48-2020

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 73.041 OF THE CODIFIED ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF SPRINGDALE, OHIO

President Vanover: Alright, this is a first reading. Is there any discussion this evening?

President Vanover: I just have one thing that just jumped at me. In Subsection C there, where is say, "purposes of this section, Commercial Vehicle shall be defined as any vehicle that has more than one rear axle or more than two wheels on any one axle on the road, or is a non-recreational trailer used for commercial purposes". That, the way I read that if an individual has a dual Chevy pickup, which has four wheels on one axle, even though it would be licensed as non-commercial "non comm", he wouldn't be able to park on the street. Do we open that can of worms on that? Because that could be; the size of trucks today is crazy anyway with crew cabs and long beds. They're all approaching 20 feet in length, but, the "dualies" do have the extra width, because they have those fender flares that are covering those rear axles.

City of Springdale Council

December 2, 2020

Mr. Hawkins: That would appear to be an unintended consequence as a result of the legislation that I see went through Rules and Laws. I don't think that's what their intention was, and, as such, I think we should probably do something to modify that, unless that was the intention that they had.

Mr. Braun: I can only speak for me, as someone that's not on the Committee, but was in the meeting. I can tell you that a vehicle, commonly known as a "dually", was not discussed at the meeting. What was discussed though was not limiting it to commercially licensed vehicles. The reason for that, there are so many commercial vehicles that are not licensed commercially. So, maybe before the next reading, if you would like, and I'll leave this up to Mrs. Emerson or Mrs. Ghantous on the Committee, we can convene and talk about whether or not we want to create some kind of an exception for a vehicle used for personal use that, essentially has more than two vehicles on one axle. Maybe we can explore what the definition of a "dually" is or something to create such an exception. But, I'll leave it up to the other two members. I can only say it was definitely not discussed.

Mrs. Emerson: These kinds of trucks, in walking I took some pictures, and there's a big truck here that has two wheels on one axle, and this is what we're trying to keep off the street. So, the real problem with this ordinance, and that I know the Mayor will agree with this, we've been battling this for years. They come up with a new vehicle, and trying to fit it into this, and still make Springdale still look nice, and keep heavy equipment and that kind of things out of our streets, and parked in front of houses; it's been a very difficult task. I mean, I guess we can look at that with the "dually". Now they would still be allowed to park the "dually" in the driveway, is that correct? So, all we're asking is that they don't park it in the street. But, I don't know if there is another way we can look at that with commercial or non-commercial licensure to allow that to be parked on the street.

Mr. Braun: Again, I think, I guess what the Committee needs to go back and look at is do they want to require a "dually" to be parked in the driveway, versus on the street. As Mr. President pointed out, that it does have significantly higher width, and it may approach the width of the picture that Mrs. Emerson just showed, and, if that's the case, maybe they don't want to create that exception, but again, my suggestion might be that before the final reading, that can be something the Committee could consider.

Mr. Ramirez: So, under the definition, it is possible to have a commercial vehicle as long as it does not have more than one axle, or two wheels on any axle. So, if indeed it is licensed as a commercial vehicle, it is still legal, as long as it doesn't have those two terms. Is that correct?

President Vanover: A "dually" is a Chevy.

Mr. Ramirez: Four wheels on one axle.

President Vanover: Yes, four wheels on one axle. They have big fender flares on the back. It is, essentially, a heavy suspension. Typically, you'll see them where guys are pulling trailers, like a fifth wheel, with a king pin, large horse trailers. They're made to take that load because of the design of its own weight. It does, I mean I won't kid anybody, they probably are, let's see, probably approaching ten feet in width.

Mr. Ramirez: So, the intent is to restrict large commercial vehicles, not commercial vehicles.

President Vanover: Right. Well, because it's called out, we don't specify that it has to be commercially licensed, and it's a good point, because there are a lot of them that are not legal. They're still listed as "non comm". And, they're operating pulling trailers, or whatever out there. I'm just pointing out a fact here that we might see a firestorm. It matters not to me, per se, but, just to put it out there for Council that this is a "glitch" if you want to call it in trying to define by using this definition.

City of Springdale Council

December 2, 2020

Mrs. Emerson: But if you look at this picture, if we allow the “dualies” on the street, this is the type of thing that we get. It’s got a huge area ladder on it, and I don’t think anybody here wants this parked on the streets. You can park “dualies” in the driveway, so that is an option for the resident to park their vehicle in the driveway so we haven’t taken that from them. We can meet again and discuss it. It’s a very, very good point, Mr. Vanover that we have to look at.

President Vanover: Well, I just wanted to point it out because that issue I deal with that from time to time, and I agree the width of the vehicle is a big problem. Heritage Hill, the Terrace back here, Old Springdale, the streets, we’re lucky to get two vehicles side by side, if there’s parking on one side. As of late, some of these courteous drivers; enough said. So, it’s something to think about if you want to pull it back and take a look. We can go with the first reading and then we can always amend or suspend it, whatever you want to do.

Mrs. Emerson: I think we’ll probably meet again and discuss some of this and come back with a solution, hopefully.

Mayor Webster: I have a question. Even if we pass (Ordinance No.) 48-2020 and (Ordinance No.) 49-2020 as it’s written tonight, where would this vehicle be tomorrow?

President Vanover: On the driveway.

Mayor Webster: That’s not the way I read (Ordinance No.) 49-2020.

President Vanover: Oh, (Ordinance No.) 49?

Mayor Webster: “One commercial vehicle shall be permitted to be parked or stored in or upon a driveway in a residential zoning district provided it does not have more than one rear axle or more than two wheels on any one axle on the road”. It says four wheels the way I look at it. So, if I own one of these, where would I park it?

President Vanover: Nowhere.

Mayor Webster: Nowhere. Keep driving around? (laughter)

President Vanover: You’ve got 15 minute parking.

Mayor Webster: I don’t have one, but I think we’re going to hear from some people that do have these types of vehicles.

President Vanover: Well, and the “dually” would come under (Ordinance No.) 49-2020 too. Because it’s got more than one or two wheels on one axle.

Mrs. Emerson: Does it have anything to do with weight? I mean, can we regulate it by weight or are all these really heavy also? Like the “dually” truck versus that truck.

President Vanover: Well, that truck, GVW, which is Gross Vehicle Weight, is what the Bureau of Motor Vehicles rates what it costs you for your license. I’m commercial, and I’m 8,000 to 10,000 GVW. That vehicle, I’m certain would be higher than 10,000. But, that’s one possibility.

Mrs. Emerson: But how does that rate out in weight versus a “dually”?

President Vanover: Well, now that I can’t speak on what the “dualies” are.

Mr. Braun: I just want to point out, there was some discussion early on as Mrs. Emerson and Mrs. Ghantous know, this has been the subject of discussion for over a year. I can recall the former Police Chief pointing out that a lot of this created enforcement problems. I might respectfully point out that if you regulate weight, it creates an enforcement

City of Springdale Council

December 2, 2020

Mr. Braun (continued): problem because you might say, and “Well, a Police Officer or Zoning Inspector can easily pull out a little guide and say that a commercial GMC commercial truck weighs “x”. But then by the time you add that lift and the hydraulics and the equipment, I might suggest that might create an enforcement problem and wait. You will recall that this amendment is replacing one that was limited solely on length and width. That also, while it didn’t create an enforcement problem, created a practical problem that there are a lot of vehicles today as they grow bigger and bigger that met that qualification and were exempted. So, I think Mrs. Emerson makes a very good point that this is a very delicate difficult situation. I might call this a compromise position of trying to limit it. I think maybe when the Committee goes back, they can weigh the things you’ve talked about into consideration. But, I think you’re not going to come up with a perfect solution to it, and then it is also a very big policy decision as Mr. Hawkins pointed out. Did we intend or did they not intend to limit it because, at some point you have to decide, as the Mayor said as well, “Do you want this vehicle parking on your street?” “Do you want it in driveways?” Or, do you want to say it’s a commercial vehicle and it needs to stay at their job or place of employment. So, I think all of these create questions that were considered, but, obviously they can be revisited.

Mr. Hawkins: I was going to say as Mr. Braun said, I wouldn’t do the weight as the guideline because it does create an enforcement issue. It all comes back to what does the Council want to do and Committees coming to Council with this in terms of what’s deemed to be important. We went through the whole thing with the recreational vehicles and boats and what have you and some people said, “I’m fine with it being parked on the streets” and some people said, “I’m fine with it being parked in the side yard, back yard, driveway.” They’re going to be differing opinions for everybody, I think, with regard to it, but the Committee, and ultimately Council is going to make a decision on whatever we think is best or ideal and reasonable minds may vary, but, as the Mayor pointed out, we don’t necessarily want to block them from being able to park anywhere. So, it’s just something for us to ultimately figure out.

Mayor Webster: This is probably for Mr. Braun. When you represent other communities, how do they address this? Have we looked at their ordinances and how they compare with ours?

Mr. Braun: We looked at numerous communities. We printed off codes from numerous communities, and I think the simple answer is they are all over the place. Some communities, which are, what I will call new development have HOA’s that regulate out this kind of vehicle altogether. They would regulate even more stringent than the Code we’re considering tonight, in fact. Most HOA’s have a regulation that says if it has a logo on it, can’t be parked in your driveway. So, I think that was definitely taken into consideration by the Rules and Laws Committee. We did circulate those other communities and I also know that Anne McBride, our City Planner, provided some information as well to us from other communities. *So, there is no one “cookie cutter” solution. It’s all over the place.

Mayor Webster: So you said Mrs. McBride did provide you some information?

Mr. Braun: She did. This regulation actually changes some of the suggestions she had originally made to the City. Because this is actually loosening the restrictions that were in our Code previously. She would have put even more stringent restrictions on commercial vehicles, and I think it was brought up. Mrs. Emerson might want to speak to this, but, I think it was the will of the Committee that they wanted to take into consideration there are some individuals who do bring home work trucks that they should be able to park them in their driveway. The City Planner was recommending, as you pointed out, not allowing them in driveways at all.

Mayor Webster: I really think Mr. Hawkins was right. It’s going to come down to what does this Council want to see as they drive down the street? Do you want to see these parked on the street? Do you want to see them in driveways? Do you want to see trucks with decals on them in driveways? Do you want to see them on the street? Do you want them off altogether? I think we’re just going to have to wade through those one by one and this Council is going to have to decide what they want and then have the fortitude when “Joe Blow” stands

City of Springdale Council

December 2, 2020

Mayor Webster (continued): up there and says, "Dammit I paid \$30,000 or \$50,000 for this vehicle, now you're telling me I can't park it on the street, I can't park it on the driveway, what am I supposed to do with it? Now I've got to go out and rent a garage for \$50 a month or whatever." And, if you guys are going to say, "Well, wait a minute, we've got to have another committee meeting. We've got to re-write the ordinance." Then, we're never going to get it resolved. So, anyway. I have no dog in this fight. I don't own one of these.

President Vanover: Point taken.

Mrs. Emerson: I will reiterate what Mr. Braun said. That our whole objective in that meeting was to make it fair so blue collar workers, people who drove these work vans home every night and who did a 24 hour service that had to be pulled out in the middle of the night were able to park in their driveway or on the street. So, that was our main objective through this whole meeting, and for the past three years we've been working on this. So, we'll take all of these comments in consideration and meet again and see if we can come up with a better piece of legislation.

Mr. Anderson: For what it's worth, I agree with Mrs. Emerson's last comments and the Committee when they wanted to make it easier for some of these families to park in their driveways. I think what's written in (Ordinance No.) 48-2020 is appropriate because that talks about the street and I think we have a responsibility to ensure clear sight lines and safe passage because that's a shared utility. When you get these "dualies", or commercial vehicles, because of their width, not because of how they're used, that's an issue that we've talked about in other meetings. It's hard to see around. It's the same reason we talked about trailers before because they're difficult to see in those situations when they're loaded. So, I agree that (Ordinance No.) 48-2020 is fine as is, including how it's written as "dualies". It's simple, clean, and easy to enforce. I think (Ordinance No.) 49-2020, which we haven't gotten to, but we've been talking about, we should look at addressing the "dually" issue, even removing that entirely based on our breakout in Springdale. We have a lot of these blue collar families, and, also just regular people with large vans for lots of reasons. They should be able to park in their driveway, whatever's appropriate regardless if it has a decal on it or is a multiple-wheel axle. So, that's where I stand, and I'm happy to defend that with anyone who would ask about it. We can give plenty of examples why that's a good idea. Thank you.

Mr. Hawkins: Mr. Anderson, I appreciate everything you just said. The other part of it though is with the way this language is, in terms of defining the commercial vehicle, the person who just goes out and "I want to get a 'dually' because I want to tow a boat trailer", whatever, I don't have "Hawkins" or anything on the side of it, and I don't know. I'm ignorant of the codified ordinances of Springdale and I go park at your house, Springdale's finest comes by and I get a ticket; I'm not going to be happy. So, there's an aspect too where, I don't know, in terms of trying to make it fair for the average person that may just be passing through is not even doing any of the stuff that we're necessarily looking at. That's not something that most individuals would think, based on the State laws that would be a problem. So, I'm not saying it's an easy thing for us to tackle, but these are some of the things we have to dance through as we're going through this.

Mr. Anderson: And that's a good point about knowledge of the ordinances. I know in the past with some of them like noise ordinances, we've put additional signage at the entrance of the community that might be appropriate for parking in this situation. To be fair, that's already the case. These people aren't allowed to park a lot of the cars that are there already and it's not being enforced. So, not knowing the law isn't necessarily a reason to not to correct what needs to happen. But, I do take your point and I think I would be open to updating Section (D) in (Ordinance No.) 48-2020 to say, "first offense is a warning", and then subsequent offenses for the same person would carry the minor misdemeanor, or leave it as an unclassified misdemeanor so that the magistrate could adjudicate that just like we did with the building ordinance last meeting. I think both of those changes would address that and make it easier and more convenient for our residents.

City of Springdale Council

December 2, 2020

Mrs. Sullivan-Wisecup: Back here in the Terrace area, and Royal Oaks, we do have narrow streets and we do have a lot of commercial vehicles. Neither one of these actually deal with one of the biggest problems that we have back in my neighborhood, which is multiple commercial vehicles for one household. I understand that some people have a work truck for a person that lives there, or a work van for a person that lives there. There are a few that have three or four just for one person that lives there, and that takes up half of the street, plus that person's driveway. If it's in the driveway, that's fine, but on our streets, number one, they're narrow, and number two, they're short, and if you have four commercial vehicles parked all on the street, that's everyone on your entire street's additional parking for any guests they may have because there's usually single, on one of the streets I'm thinking of, it's only single driveways. They're not the double driveways, so, there was nothing in here on limits of how many commercial vehicles each household can have. And, again, back in my neighborhood, and Carolyn Ghantous can also attest to this, it is hard to see around some of the bigger vehicles if you're at a stop sign, and you're trying to see around, and there are the bigger vehicles there, it is hard to see around them and, even if you're driving sometimes, if it's one of the curvy streets, it's hard to see around as you're going because those bigger cars are parked on the street and you can't see around them. They're obviously not getting over, you're not getting over; it's a problem. So, I think that there are some revisions that might need to be made to these to kind of clean it all up.

Mrs. Emerson: We did have some discussion on numbers. The problem you get with that, again, is the enforcement because if you ran a person's plate, it doesn't always register to that home. It could be to the company, so that was the reason we didn't go that route.

President Vanover: Well, we will put (Ordinance No.) 48-2020 the first reading to bed, and we'll move on to Ordinance No. 49-2020.

Ordinance No. 49-2020

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 153.252(F)(8) OF THE CODIFIED ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF SPRINGDALE, OHIO

President Vanover: Alright Council, this is a first reading. Do we have any discussion on this item? (None) Okay. We will see it again.

Resolution No. R24-2020

A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE MAYOR'S APPOINTMENT OF RITA HART TO ANOTHER TERM AS A MEMBER OF THE CITY OF SPRINGDALE BOARD OF HEALTH

Mrs. Sullivan-Wisecup made a motion to adopt Resolution No. R24-2020; Mrs. Emerson seconded.

Mayor Webster: Mr. President, if I may?

President Vanover: Yes sir.

Mayor Webster: These are both my appointments. Mrs. Hart and Dr. Ketring. They've been on the Board. They pre-date me on the Board, so, yesterday was my 25th anniversary as Mayor of the City of Springdale, and these two people came with the keys so to speak. I think Mrs. Hart was appointed by Mayor Pittman, and I think Dr. Ketring even predated Mr. Pittman, so, these are long-standing members, productive members of the Board of Health and I would certainly urge your support of both of these candidates.

Mrs. Emerson: I agree with the Mayor. I also serve on the Board and they're both amazing. They do a great job.

President Vanover: Well, I also served with them during my stint on the Board of Health and they definitely bring a level of knowledge to the Board that is beneficial.

Resolution No. R24-2020 passes with seven affirmative votes.

City of Springdale Council

December 2, 2020

Resolution No. R25-2020

A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE MAYOR'S APPOINTMENT OF KEVIN KETRING TO ANOTHER TERM AS A MEMBER OF THE CITY OF SPRINGDALE BOARD OF HEALTH

Mrs. Ghantous made a motion to adopt Resolution No. R25-2020; Mrs. Emerson seconded.

Resolution No. R25-2020 passes with seven affirmative votes.

Old Business	-	None
New Business	-	None

Executive Session – Pending Litigation

Mrs. Emerson made a motion to go into Executive Session with the City Legal Counsel in accordance with Ohio Revised Code Section 121.22(G) to discuss pending litigation and Economic Development. Mrs. Sullivan-Wisecup seconded the motion. The motion passed with a 7-0 vote to go into Executive Session. Council departed chambers at 7:52 p.m. Council returned to chambers at 8:10 p.m.

Meetings and Announcements

Mrs. Sullivan-Wisecup: Planning Commission will meet in these chambers on January 12, 2021 at 7:00 p.m.

Mrs. Emerson: The Board of Health will meet on December 10th at 7:00 p.m. in these chambers.

Communications from the Audience	-	None
----------------------------------	---	------

Update on Legislation Still in Development

Mr. Hawkins: As you review your Internal Memorandum, Item Number I was addressed with Ordinance No. 47-2020; An Ordinance Authorizing the 2021 Fee Schedule with CT Consultants, Inc. for Engineering Services and Declaring an Emergency. That passed with a 7-0 vote. Item Number II was addressed with Ordinance No. 46-2020; An Ordinance to Establish a Custodial (Agency) Fund Entitled the Springdale Youth Boosters (SYB) Fund and Declaring an Emergency. That passed with a 7-0 vote. Item Number III was addressed with two separate resolutions. Resolution No. R24-2020 and Resolution No. R25-2020 regarding the Mayor's appointments to the Board of Health with regard to Dr. Ketring and Mrs. Rita Hart. Those matters were both passed with 7-0 votes. We had a first reading on Item Number IV; An Ordinance Amending Section 73.041 of the Codified Ordinances of the City of Springdale, Ohio. We had a first reading of Item Number V; An Ordinance Amending Section 153.252(F)(8) of the Codified Ordinances of the City of Springdale, Ohio. All other matters were forthcoming.

President Vanover: Just a quick question Mr. Braun. Will we not have to have a public hearing on Ordinance No. 48-2020 and Ordinance No. 49-2020?

Mr. Braun: Would it be premature to set a hearing at this time, given that we anticipate an amendment? I'll leave that up to Mrs. Emerson. We could always read it again with the amendment, but I think it might be premature. I'll leave that up to Mrs. Emerson.

Mrs. Emerson: I agree.

City of Springdale Council

December 2, 2020

Mr. Braun: So, the anticipation would be that it will not be voted on at the next meeting, it will be presented and then we can have a third reading.

President Vanover: Just keeping everything flowing.

Recap of legislative items requested for next Council meeting

Mr. Hawkins: There's a request for An Ordinance Amending Section 73.041 of the Codified Ordinances of the City of Springdale, Ohio for a second reading. There is a request for An Ordinance Amending Section 153.252 (F)(8) of the Codified Ordinances of the City of Springdale, Ohio for a second reading, which is Ordinance No. 49-2020. There is a request for An Ordinance Setting the Employee Pay Rates for 2021 and Declaring an Emergency. There is a request for An Ordinance Adopting the Final Appropriation and Transfer Ordinance for 2020. There is a request for An Ordinance Adopting a Temporary Appropriations Ordinance for the Period January 1, 2021 until the Fiscal year 2021 Appropriations Permanent Ordinance is Adopted by City Council. There is a request for An Ordinance Authorizing a Preliminary Ordinance with the State of Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) for Guardrail Replacements with the City of Springdale and Declaring an Emergency. There is also a request for An Ordinance Authorizing the Mayor and Clerk of Council/Finance Director to Enter Into a Cooperative Agreement with Hamilton County for the East Kemper Road/CSX Bridge Repair and Declaring an Emergency. There's also a request for several resolutions for Council appointments to Various Boards, Commissions, and Committees with regard to Charter Revision, Civil Service Commission, and Tax Review Board. That would conclude requests unless there's anything else from Council or the Administration.

Adjournment

Mr. Hawkins made a motion to adjourn. Mrs. Sullivan-Wisecup seconded the motion and Council adjourned at 8:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Lawrence Hawkins III
Acting Clerk of Council

Minutes Approved:
Tom Vanover, President of Council

_____, 2020